## What more could the central banks do to deal with the crisis?

By <u>Christophe Blot</u> and <u>Paul Hubert</u>

The return of new lockdown measures in numerous countries is expected to slow the pace of economic recovery and even lead to another

downturn in activity towards the end of the year. To address this risk,

governments are announcing new support measures that in some cases supplement

the stimulus plans enacted in the autumn. No additional monetary policy

measures have yet been announced. But with rates close to or at 0% and with a

massive bond purchase policy, one wonders whether the central banks still have any

manoeuvring room. In practice, they could continue QE programmes and increase

the volume of asset purchases. But other options are also conceivable, such as

monetizing the public debt.

With the Covid-19 crisis, the central banks — the

Federal Reserve, the Bank of England and the ECB — have resumed or amplified

their quantitative easing (QE) policy, to such an extent that some are viewing

this as a de facto monetization of debt. In a recent  $\underline{\textit{Policy}}$   $\underline{\textit{Brief}}$ , we argue that QE cannot

strictly be considered as the monetization of public debt, in particular

because the purchases of securities are not matched by the issuance of money

but by the issuance of excess reserves. These are distinct from the currency in

circulation in the economy, since they can be used only within the banking

system and are subject to an interest rate (the deposit facility rate in the

case of the euro zone), unlike currency in circulation.

Our analysis therefore makes it possible to look

again at the characteristics of QE and to specify the conditions for monetizing

debt. It should result in (1) a saving of interest paid by the government, (2) the

creation of money, (3) being permanent (or sustainable), and (4) reflect an

implicit change in the objective of the central banks or their inflation

target. The implementation of such a strategy is therefore an option available

to central banks and would allow the financing of expansionary fiscal policies.

The government, in return for a package of fiscal measures — transfers to

households or health care spending, support for businesses — would issue a

zero-coupon perpetual bond, purchased by commercial banks, which would credit

the account of the agents targeted by the support measures. The debt would have

no repayment or interest payment obligations and would then be acquired by the

central bank and retained on its balance sheet.

Monetization would probably be more effective than QE in stabilizing nominal growth. It would reduce the risk to financial stability caused

by QE, whose effect depends on its transmission to asset prices, which could

create asset-price bubbles or induce private agents to take on excessive debt.

Monetization has often been put off because of fears that it would lead to

higher inflation. In the current environment, expansionary fiscal policy is

needed to sustain activity and to prepare for recovery once the pandemic is

under control. A pick-up in the pace of inflation would also satisfy the central

banks, and insufficient demand should greatly reduce the risk of an out-of-control

inflationary spiral. Monetization requires stronger coordination with fiscal

policy, which makes it more difficult to implement in the euro area.